

Environmental Engineering- Water Quality Resource Protection Area Designation Application

Forms should be mailed to: Attention: Laura E. Barry Water Quality Analyst Environmental Engineering P.O. Box 40, Chesterfield, VA 23832

Site Information (one form per site)	Date:	
Project Name:		
GPIN(s):		
Site Address:		
Total Site Acreage:		M. 11 —
T	Submitted by:	Mail:
Has this project been designated "Fast Track" status?		Delivered:
If yes, please list the name of:		
Economic Development Contact:		
Review Engineer:		
Please select ONE (1) as the PRIMARY CONTACT PERS	SON for this application	1
Tiende delect OTTE (1) as the I KINIAK I CONTACT PERS	sorvior ans application	
Contact Information of Agent: Primary Contact Person □		
Contact Person: Company Name:		
- · ·		
Mailing Address:		
Phone: ()		
Phone: ()		
FAX: ()		
Cell: ()		
E-mail:		
	1	
<u>Contact Information of Owner</u> : Primary Contact Person □	1	
Contact Paragra		
Contact Person: Company Name:		
Mailing Address:		
maning Address.		
Phone: ()		
Phone: ()		
FAX: ()		
Cell: ()		

Project Name:	
Written permission from property o	wner is required.
I, as applicant or agent for the owner(s) of the certify that the information contained in this reknowledge and I am submitting this application the policies of Chesterfield County's Environm	eport is complete and correct to the best of my on for review and approval as provided under
(Print Name of Agent)	
(Signature of Agent)	(Date)
I, as owner/co owner of the property subjective Chesterfield County and associated personne of conducting a Resource Protection Area De	l to enter upon said property for the purpose
(Printed Name of Property Owner(s)	

(Date)

(Date)

(Date)

(Signature of Property Owner)

(Signature of Property Owner)

(Signature of Property Owner)

Project Name:			
Posaura Protection Area Designation Charlist			
Resource Protection Area Designation Checklist			
Please enclose 2 copies of the following information, to include this application.			
☐ All streams, channels, swales and contour crenulations have been evaluated using a site-specific method.			
Documents, Maps, and Supporting Data (required)			
A letter from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) confirming the wetlands delineation, or a letter from a qualified wetlands expert on record in Environmental Engineering confirming the accuracy of the portrayed wetland delineation ☐ A copy of the data forms used in the wetland determination ☐ Site Map at a scale that clearly shows the following features:			
1. North arrow and contour lines			
 The property boundary from a certified survey, recorded plat, or the county GIS parcel layer; hand drawn sketches will not be accepted. The limits of proposed development (if known) 			
4. All jurisdictional and non-jurisdictional wetlands			
5. The location of data points, wetland flags, and transects used in the wetland			
determination.			
6. All identified water features/resources and RPA features on site			
7. Location of stream assessment reaches and/or photo points			
8. GPS coordinates of break point(s) of surface flow and/or non-contiguous			
wetlands, intermittent streams			
 Location of the preliminary site-specific delineated RPA boundary line Statement confirming that the wetlands delineation portrayed on the map is an accurate representation of the field delineation 			
☐ Soils Map			
☐ Digital map copy in either ARCGIS Shapefile or AutoCAD DWG/DXF referenced			
directly to the Virginia State Plane Coordinate System, South Zone in the 1983 Datum (Feet). AutoCAD files must include a layer list identifying the values for the features in the DWG/DXF filed. ARCGIS Shapefiles must include items clearly identified in the attribute table.			
☐ Weather conditions at the time of assessment including the date of the last			
rainfall and Palmer Drought Severity Index conditions			
Perennial Flow Field Indicator Protocol (if used) North Carolina Division of Weter Quality (Version 2.1, Ech. '05) (Professed) or Fairfay			
□ North Carolina Division of Water Quality (Version 3.1, Feb. '05) (Preferred) or Fairfax County Method (2003)			
County Method (2003) Completed data sheets for each reach including indication of determination			
☐ Identifiable unique field flagging for each stream assessment reach (not wetland flags)			
at the upper and lower end			
Perennial flow Observation Method (if used)			
Color photos taken in accordance with Chesterfield County's Guidance for Site			
Specific Determinations of Perennial Streams Documented Observation Method Date stamp/certification for each photo for both observation dates Identifiable unique field flagging at each photo station			
Overall Interpretation (required)			
A written report including the reach scores (if applicable), explanation of why a stream was not evaluated (if applicable) and statement whether a stream is perennial or intermittent for each stream reach assessed.			
☐ A written description of the RPA features and justification for all non-connected and contiguous wetland breaks along the RPA boundary.			
Initial & Date Here			